	Case 1:20-cv-00358-NONE-JLT Docume	ent 20 Filed 08/26/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SHIKEB SADDOZAI,	Case No. 1:20-cv-00358-NONE-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR
13	v.	FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDER
14	J. CEBALLOS, et al.,	21-DAY DEADLINE
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On May 10, 2020, the Court issued a screening order directing Plaintiff, within 21 days, to	
18	file a first amended complaint curing the deficiencies in his pleading. (Doc. 10.) On May 27,	
19	2020, the Court granted Plaintiff an extension of time to comply with its screening order. (Doc.	
20	13.) On July 9, 2020, the Court granted Plaintiff a second extension of time. (Doc. 19.) Although	
21	more than the allowed time has passed, Plaintiff has failed to file an amended complaint.	
22	The Local Rules, corresponding with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, provide,	
23	"[f]ailure of counsel or of a party to comply with any order of the Court may be grounds for	
24	the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court."	
25	Local Rule 110. "District courts have inherent power to control their dockets" and, in exercising	
26	that power, may impose sanctions, including dismissal of an action. Thompson v. Housing Auth.,	
27	City of Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action based on a	
28	party's failure to prosecute an action, obey a court order, or comply with local rules. See, e.g.,	

## Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order to amend a complaint); Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130-31 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and to comply with local rules). Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to show cause in writing, within 21 days of the date of service of this order, why this action should not be dismissed for his failure to comply with the Court's order. Alternatively, within that same time, Plaintiff may file a first amended complaint curing the deficiencies identified in the Court's screening order (Doc. 10) or a notice of voluntary dismissal of this case. Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed for failure to state a claim and to obey a court order. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **August 25, 2020** /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 1:20-cv-00358-NONE-JLT Document 20 Filed 08/26/20 Page 2 of 2